

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/560,064

Applicant(s)

ADACHI, SHINYA

Examiner

Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude

Art Unit

3661

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude.

(3)_____.

(2) Brad Spencer Reg# 57,076.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 09 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-25.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wishes to have Amendment C submitted on 9/27/07 considered as a 312 amendment since the office fails to withdraw the allowance that he requested during the 3 months suspension of action under 37 CFR 1.103 (c). As he stated the reason for the suspension of action was to give him time to amend the claims, unfortunately the allowance was not withdrawn for his amendment to be properly considered. Examiner is therefore considering Amendment C as a 312 amendment wherein claims 1-25 are considered and entered. It appears that the system recognizes such amendment also as a 312 amendment .